REMARKS

The final Office Action dated December 23, 2010, has been received and

carefully noted. The following remarks are being submitted as a full and complete

response thereto.

Claims 1-11, 13-26 and 28-31 are pending in this application, with claims 1, 23,

and 29-31 being independent. By this Amendment, claims 1, 8, and 29-31 have been

amended, and claim 12 has been cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the

subject matter contained therein. Applicants submit that no new matter has been

presented herein.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding

rejections.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-11, 13-16, and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Timmins (U.S. Patent No. 6,031,004) and Balkan (U.S. Patent

Publication No. 2003/0139434) as evidenced by Tyler (W.S. Tyler Canada, product and

price catalog), Martin (U.S. Patent No. 6,110,497) and Shimizu (U.S. Patent No.

6,328,994). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The presently-claimed invention beneficially solves the problems associated with

preparing oral dosage forms containing metformin by providing dispersible or

orodispersible solid dosage forms comprising particles having a size that is lower than

710 microns upon dispersion in water. According to certain embodiments of the

invention, when the particles that make up the oral dosage forms are dispersed in

U.S. Application No.: 10/567.345

inventor: Jerome BESSE

Attorney Docket No.: 030363.00003

Arent Fox LLP

TECH/998689.1

water, the dispersion is homogenous, and no particle resulting from the disintegration of

the dosage form has a size larger than 710 microns, as determined by passing the

dispersion through a sieve having a nominal mesh size of 710 microns. See page 5.

The particles that result from the disintegration of the dosage form include an internal

core comprising the active ingredient and appropriate excipients, and an external layer

comprising a sweetening agent and appropriate excipients. See page 11. As

demonstrated by the data contained in Tables 11 and 12 of the specification, the

presently-claimed dosage forms beneficially provide a pharmacokinetic profile that is

equivalent to Glucophage®-brand metformin tablets, without any of the drawbacks of

conventional formulations.

Timmins is cited for allegedly disclosing salts of the anti-diabetic agent

metformin, including metformin fumarate and metformin succinate, which may be

employed alone or in combination with another anti-hyperglycemic agent (see Abstract).

Timmins discloses that the dosage form may be a tablet or capsule, among others (see

column 4, lines 49-52). Timmins further discloses that the dosage forms may include

from about 1% to about 80% excipients, such as lactose, sugar, corn starch, modified

corn starch, mannitol, sorbitol, calcium carbonate, and microcrystalline cellulose (see

column 5, lines 8-12); one or more binders such as polyvinylpyrrolidone (having a

molecular weight of preferably about 40,000), lactose, starches and polyethylene,

among others (see column 5, lines 15-23); about 2% to about 8% by weight of

disintegrants, such as croscarmellose sodium, crospovidone/cross-linked polyvinyl

pyrrolidone, sodium starch glycolate, corn starch and microcrystalline cellulose (see

column 5, lines 24-30); other excipients such as preservatives, silicon dioxide, and

U.S. Application No.: 10/567,345 Inventor: Jerome BESSE

Attorney Docket No.: 030363.00003

- 15 -

polymeric celluloses (see column 5, lines 34-46); and the sweetening agent xylitol, and

the flavoring agents grape flavor, spice flavor and raspberry flavor (see column 10, lines

1-35).

In Example 4, Timmins discloses a tablet formulation containing the active agent

metformin succinate in amount of 80% (600/748x100), an the binder

hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose in an amount of 2% (15/748x100), the disintegrant

croscarmellose sodium in an amount of 6% (45/748x100), the filler/diluting agent

microcrystalline cellulose in an amount of 10% (80/748x100), and the additional

Timmins further discloses that the formulation of excipient magnesium stearate.

Example 4 is prepared by wet granulation, and includes the steps of mixing, granulating,

drying and compressing into tablets (see column 7, lines 45-60).

Timmins also discloses that additional active ingredients may be included, such

as pioglitazone (see column 3, line 64), thiazolidinedione/glitazone (see column 4, line

2), glimepride, glipyride, glipizide, chlorpropamide, glicazide and acarbose (see column

4, lines 24-26).

Regarding the size of the granules, the Office Action indicates that Timmins

discloses that the mixtures of ingredients are passed through a #12 to #40 mesh screen

(6:3), which according to Tyler indicates a size of from 425 microns to 1.7 mm (see

Tyler, page 3, table columns 1-2). However, this statement is inaccurate.

The Office Action admits that Timmins does not disclose compositions that

include a dipeptidyl peptidase inhibitor and/or a sugar coating. However, Balkan is cited

for allegedly disclosing these features. Applicants submit that Timmins and Balkan also

U.S. Application No.: 10/567.345

Inventor: Jerome BESSE

Attorney Docket No.: 030363,00003

Arent Fox LLP

TECH/998689.1

fail to disclose or suggest dispersible or orodispersible solid dosage forms, as set forth

in the pending claims.

Balkan is cited for disclosing combination pharmaceutical compositions which

include dipeptidyl peptidase four (DPP-IV) inhibitors and at least one anti-diabetic

compound (see Abstract). Balkan further discloses compositions containing the anti-

diabetic compound metformin, among others (see [0150]). Balkan further discloses the

combination comprising DPP728 plus metformin (see [0175]). Balkan further discloses

pharmaceutical preparations that are prepared by conventional mixing, granulating, and

sugar-coating (see [0190]). Balkan further discloses that, if desired, the mixture may be

processed to form granules, tablets, or sugar-coated tablet cores (see [0190]).

Shimizu is cited for allegedly disclosing orally disintegrating tablets comprising a

coating layer and a core, where the core is 75-85% of the tablet weight (see col. 15,

lines 20-40). Martin is cited for allegedly disclosing a dispersible tablet formulation

composed of granulate particles and extragranular excipients, where the granulate

particles may comprise 70-95% of the total tablet weight (see col. 2, lines 35-38).

The Office Action takes the position that it would have been prima facie obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to combine

a DPP-IV inhibitor with a metformin pharmaceutical composition, as suggested by

Balkan, because Timmins suggests the use of metformin in combination with other anti-

diabetic drugs, and because Balkan discloses that DPP-IV inhibitors are anti-diabetic

drugs suitable for use with metformin. The Office Action asserts that one of ordinary

skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Balkan with Timmins because the

resulting formulation would have increased efficacy due to the combination of the two

U.S. Application No.: 10/567.345

Inventor: Jerome BESSE

TECH/998689.1

Arent Fox LLP Attorney Docket No.: 030363.00003

- 17 -

anti-diabetic drugs. The Office Action also asserts that it would also have been obvious

to produce a sweetener-coated formulation because the sweetener would have a more

appealing taste for the user, and would therefore increase patient compliance. The

Office Action further asserts that one skilled in the art would have had a reasonable

expectation of success in producing the presently-claimed invention.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the positions taken in the Office Action.

Applicants submit that Timmins relates to dosage forms containing dibasic acid

salts of metformin as an alternative to metformin hydrochloride, which is said to have an

unpleasant taste and is considered problematic from a manufacturing standpoint. The

alternative salts have improved taste and handling properties, and are "significantly less

soluble in water than the hydrochloride salt and thus provide the opportunity for

formulating metformin in controlled release systems." See col. 2, lines 38-43. Timmins

fails to disclose or suggest the preparation of dispersible or orodispersible dosage

forms, as claimed and as defined at page 4 of the present specification. Timmins

provides no disclosure to enable one skilled in the art to prepare such a dosage form.

Timmins discloses at column 6, lines 2-3, that the medicament(s) and optional

fillers are mixed and passed through a #12 to #40 mesh screen (425 microns to 1.7

mm), followed by adding optional filler/binder, a disintegrant, and a lubricant, and then

mixing and compressing the mixture. The Office Action again takes the position that

this disclosure renders the size feature of the presently-claimed invention obvious, but

Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants submit that although the active ingredient

is sieved in Timmins, the steps of adding additional excipients to the sieved active

U.S. Application No.: 10/567.345

Arent Fox LLP Attorney Dacket No.: 030363.00003

- 18 -

ingredient, followed by mixing and compressing, will result in a mixture containing

particles that are larger than 710 microns.

Applicants submit the presently-claimed invention specifically relates to

dispersible and orodispersible pharmaceutical compositions comprising particles

containing a metformin active ingredient, and having a size that is less than 710 microns

upon dispersion in water, where the particles comprise the various components set forth

in the claims. Further, according to some embodiments, the metformin used in the

presently-claimed invention preferably has a grain size of less than 100 microns, which

is far smaller than the grain size for the active ingredients disclosed in Timmins. See

page 8 of the present specification.

Balkan fails to remedy these deficiencies of Timmins with respect to the

presently-claimed invention, because although it discloses combinations of DDP-IV

inhibitors and an antidiabetic compound such as metformin, it utterly fails to disclose or

suggest dispersible or orodispersible pharmaceutical compositions, or dosage forms

that include particles having a size that is less than 710 microns upon dispersion in

water.

Shimizu relates to an orally disintegratable tablet containing granules of the

active agent lansoprazole, where the granules are less than 400 µm in size, including a

coating of an enteric coating agent and a sustained-release agent. Martin relates to a

tablet formulation for a beta-lactam antibiotic optionally combined with a beta-lactamase

inhibitor, where the tablet is made by compacting a granulate that is from 100 µm to 2

mm in diameter. Shimizu and Martin are merely cited for disclosing the amount of the

core relative to the tablet weight, and do not remedy the deficiencies of Timmins and

U.S. Application No.: 10/567.345

inventor: Jerome BESSE

TECH/998689.1

Attomey Docket No.: 030363.00003

Arent Fox LLP

- 19 -

Balkan. Further, Applicants submit that one skilled in the art attempting to prepare a

dispersible or orodispersible formulation containing metformin would not look to the

disclosures of Shimizu and Martin. Shimizu and Martin relate to disintegratable dosage

forms of active agents that are unrelated to metformin, and do not address the unique

problems encountered when preparing formulations containing metformin.

Applicants also present the following comments regarding the "Response to

Arguments" section.

The Office Action took the position that Applicants' particles will dissolve in water

and therefore will pass through a sieve, and that only non-water soluble particles or

poorly-water soluble particles, granules, or aggregates will remain to pass through mesh

openings. However, this interpretation is not correct.

The claims are clear that the dispersible or orodispersible solid pharmaceutical

compositions comprise particles that have a size lower than 710 µm upon dispersion

into water. The claims state that the particles comprise a metformin active ingredient, a

binding agent, a disintegrating agent, a diluting agent, a sweetening agent, and one or

more additional excipients. These particles are present in a dispersion in the claims,

and are not merely "dissolved" as stated in the Office Action. This interpretation of the

claims ignores the differences between a dispersion and a solution. One skilled in the

art would understand that dispersed particles containing multiple ingredients (as set

forth in the claims) are distinct from a solution of dissolved dosage form components

(the interpretation of Timmins relied upon in the Office Action). For at least these

reasons, Applicants' claims specifically and unambiguously exclude the interpretation

of Timmins used in the Office Action to maintain the rejections.

U.S. Application No.: 10/567,345 Inventor: Jerome BESSE

TECH/998689.1

Arent Fox LLP Attorney Docket No : 030363.00003

- 20 -

Accordingly, because the combination of Timmins and Balkan fails to disclose or

suggest all of the features of the presently-claimed invention, and the deficiencies are

not remedied by further combination with Tyler, Shimizu, and Martin, no prima facie

case of obviousness has been established.

In view of the amendments and remarks presented above, Applicants submit that

claims 1-11, 13-16, and 18-28 are not unpatentable over any combination of Timmins,

Balkan, Tyler, Shimizu, and Martin, and respectfully request that the rejection under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable

over Timmins and Balkan, as evidenced by Tyler, as applied to claims 1-11, 13-16, and

18-28 above, and further in view of Bonhomme (U.S. Patent No. 6,372,790).

Without conceding the propriety of this rejection, Applicants submit that it is moot

in view of the cancellation of claim 12.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable

over Timmins and Balkan, as evidenced by Tyler, as applied to claims 1-11, 13-16, and

18-28 above, and further in view of Ohno (U.S. Patent No. 4,017,598), and further

evidenced by Bennett ("Pharmaceutical Production: An engineering guide," 2003,

Institution of Chemical Engineers, Chapter 6, pp. 111-153). Applicants respectfully

traverse this rejection.

U.S. Application No.: 10/567,345

Inventor: Jerome BESSE

TECH/998689.1

Arent Fox LLP Afformey Docket No.: 030363.00003

- 21 -

The deficiencies of the combination of Timmins, Balkan, and Tyler are discussed

above.

Ohno is cited for allegedly disclosing adding a sweetener as an extragranular

excipient before the final step of tablet compression.

Bennett is cited for allegedly disclosing the knowledge of a person having

ordinary skill in the art of pharmaceutical formulation at the time the presently-claimed

invention was made.

Applicants submit that Ohno and Bennett fail to remedy the deficiencies of the

combination of Timmins, Balkan, and Tyler.

In view of the amendments and remarks presented above, Applicants submit that

claim 29 is not unpatentable over any combination of Timmins, Balkan, Tyler, Ohno,

and Bennett, and respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be

withdrawn.

Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Timmins and Balkan as evidenced by Tyler as applied to claims 1-11, 13-16, and

18-28 above, and further in view of Venkatesh (U.S. Patent No. 6,475,510), and further

evidenced by Bennett. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The deficiencies of the combination of Timmins, Balkan, and Tyler are discussed

above.

Venkatesh is cited for allegedly disclosing dry granulation, as well as adding a

sweetener as an extragranular excipient before the final step of tablet compression.

- 22 -

U.S. Application No.: 10/567,345

Inventor: Jerome BESSE

TECH/998689.1

Arem Fox LLP

Bennett is cited for allegedly disclosing the knowledge of a person having

ordinary skill in the art of pharmaceutical formulation at the time the presently-claimed

invention was made.

Applicants submit that Venkatesh and Bennett fail to remedy the deficiencies of

the combination of Timmins, Balkan, and Tyler.

In view of the amendments and remarks presented above, Applicants submit that

claims 30-31 are not unpatentable over any combination of Timmins, Balkan, Tyler,

Venkatesh, and Bennett, and respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) be withdrawn.

U.S. Application No.: 10/567.345 Inventor: Jerome BESSE

TECH/998689.1

Arent Fox LLP Attorney Docket No.: 030363.00003

- 23 -

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application, withdrawal of the outstanding rejections, allowance of Claims 1-11, 13-26 and 28-31, and the prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowability.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300, referencing attorney docket number 030363.00003.

Respectfully submitted,

Mann & Pursel

Dawn C. Russell

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 44,751

Customer No. 04372 ARENT FOX LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036-5339 Telephone: 202-857-6000 Fax: 202-857-6395

dcipdocket@arentfox.com